

Open Peer Review Form

for the journal Science, Technologies, Innovations

1. Manuscript Information

Article title: _____

Manuscript code / registration number: _____

Date of manuscript submission to the editorial office: _____

Academic specialty / journal section: _____

Language of the article: _____

2. Reviewer Information

Full name: _____

Academic degree, academic title: _____

Position: _____

Institution / organization: _____

ORCID / ResearcherID / Scopus Author ID: _____

E-mail: _____

3. Reviewer's Declaration

I confirm that I:

- have the appropriate scholarly competence to review this manuscript;
- have read the journal's editorial policy;
- have no conflict of interest regarding the author(s), institution, or research topic;
- undertake to provide an objective, reasoned, and ethical review;
- agree to the open peer review format, under which my name is known to the author.

Date: _____

Reviewer's signature: _____

4. Manuscript Evaluation

Please evaluate the manuscript according to the following criteria.

Criterion	High level	Sufficient level	Needs revision	Low level
Relevance of the topic	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Compliance with the journal's scope	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Scientific novelty	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Clarity of the problem statement, aim, and objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Soundness of the methodology	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reliability and logical consistency of the results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of the literature review	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Consistency of the conclusions with the research results	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of academic style and structure of presentation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Compliance with academic integrity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. Recommendation on the Content of the Article

5.1. Strengths of the manuscript

5.2. Comments and aspects requiring revision

5.3. Comments on structure, style, tables, figures, and references

5.4. Comments on academic integrity, accuracy of citation, and possible ethical risks

6. Reviewer's Recommendation

Based on the review, I recommend:

- accepting the article for publication without changes
- accepting the article after minor revisions
- returning the article for major revisions and resubmission for repeat review
- rejecting the article

7. Comment for the Editorial Office

8. Information on the Author's Access to the Review

Under the journal's open peer review policy, the review may be shared with the author together with information about the reviewer.

- I agree to the full text of the review being shared with the author
- I agree to my name being disclosed as the reviewer in the editorial process
- I do not object to my comments being used in correspondence with the author

9. Final Confirmation

I confirm that this review has been prepared by me personally, is independent, objective, and complies with the principles of academic integrity and publication ethics.

Reviewer's full name: _____

Date: _____

Signature: _____